



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/716,387	11/18/2003		Edgar A. Dallas	048674-0309	4418	
26371	7590	01/25/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY & L		· <del>-</del>	PRONE, JASON D			
777 EAST W	ISCONSI	N AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 3800			ARTORIT	TATERNOMBER		
MILWAUKE	E, WI 53	3202-5308	3724			

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	No		Applicant(s)	(,,
					O,
Office Action Summany	10/716,387			DALLAS ET AL.	. <u> </u>
Office Action Summary	Examiner		•	Art Unit	
	Jason Prone		*4* 4*	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the c	over sheet	with the c	orresponaence aad	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETURN THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, in. a reply within the statutor eriod will apply and will e statute. cause the applica	however, may y minimum of t xpire SIX (6) M tion to become	a reply be tin hirty (30) day ONTHS from ABANDONE	nely filed. s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cold (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status	•				v
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 December 200	<u>4</u> .	•		
•—	This action is non				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except fo	r formal m	atters, pro	secution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Qua</i> y	<i>le</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-22</u> is/are pending in the		idonotion			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from cons	ideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			· .		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		:			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election rea	uirement			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election req	ull ciricin.			
Application Papers		.:			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 November 2003</u>		epted or b)	object	ed to by the Exam	ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					R 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th					
Drienity and at 25 H C C S 440			:	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				:	,
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				: .	
1. Certified copies of the priority docur			A 13 43	11-	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur					Stono
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			en receive	eu in uns Nauonai	stage
application from the International Bu			ot rocaive	2 <b>d</b>	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certifie	a copies ii	Ot receive	·	
Attachment(s)	,	\	u Summon	(PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Paper N	lo(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	<sub>(B/08)</sub> 5	) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO	-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		) L Other: _	<u> </u>		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offic	ice Action Summary		Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Da	te 20050119
102 323 (1011 1701)					
				• *	

Art Unit: 3724

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 4, 12, and 15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Dependent claim 4 restates that the ancillary is coupled to a first end of the handle and that the flashlight is coupled to the second end. This limitation is disclosed on lines 3-6 of independent claim 1. Dependent claim 12 restates that the ancillary is coupled to a first end of the handle and that the flashlight is coupled to the second end. This limitation is disclosed on lines 3-5 of independent claim 8. Dependent claim 15 restates that the flashlight is coupled to the handle. This limitation is disclosed on line 4 of independent claim 8.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 8 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dallas (5,916,277).

Dallas discloses the same invention including a handle having a first end and a second end distal to the first end (30), an ancillary tool coupled to the handle at a position proximate to the first end (20), a flashlight pivotally coupled to the handle at a

Art Unit: 3724

position proximate to the second end of the handle (44), that the flashlight has a closed position (Fig. 1) and an open position (Fig. 2), a means for biasing the flashlight into the open position coupled between the handle and the flashlight (34), a means to activate the flashlight (48), that the means for activating the flashlight is engaged the means for biasing the flashlight moves the flashlight from the closed to the open position and the flashlight is turned on (Column 4 lines 20-28), that the ancillary tool is a knife (20), that the handle includes an interior channel (31) and the flashlight is stowed in the channel (Fig. 1), that the means for biasing is a torsion spring (Fig. 2), and that the means for activating is a push button (48).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dallas in view of Landamia (6,099,141).

#### In regards to claims 1-6:

Dallas discloses the invention including a handle having a first end and a second end distal to the first end (30), at least one ancillary tool coupled to the handle at a position proximate to the first end (20), a flashlight pivotally coupled to the handle at a position proximate to the second end of the handle (44), a light source directed in a direction (Fig. 8), that the light source is an LED (Column 4 line 19), that the ancillary

Art Unit: 3724

tool is a knife (20), that the handle includes an interior channel (31) and the flashlight is stowed in the channel (Fig. 1) but fails to disclose a flashlight with two LED light sources approximately 90° apart from each other and that the first light source is capable of lighting the ancillary tool. Landamia teaches a flashlight (Title) with two LED (60) light sources approximately 90° apart from each other (29 and 49) and that the first light source is capable of lighting the ancillary tool (Fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Dallas with an additional LED light source separated by ninety degrees from the first light source, as taught by Landamia, for additional illumination capabilities.

## In regards to claims 18-22:

Dallas discloses the invention including a handle (30), a light unit pivotally coupled to the handle (44), a first light source coupled to the lighting unit (44), that the first light source directs a first light beam in a first direction (Fig. 8), a means to activate the flashlight (48), that when the means for activating the flashlight is engaged, the means for biasing the flashlight moves the flashlight from the closed to the open position and the flashlight is turned on (Column 4 lines 20-28), that the first light source is an LED (Column 4 line 19), an ancillary tool pivotally coupled to the handle (20), that the ancillary tool is a knife (20), but fails to disclose a second LED light source coupled to the lighting unit, that the second light source directs a second beam a light in a second LED light source coupled to a lighting unit (49), that the second light source directs a second beam a light in a second beam a light in a second direction approximately 90° apart from the

Art Unit: 3724

first light beam (Fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Dallas with an additional LED light source separated by ninety degrees from the first light source, as taught by Landamia, for additional illumination capabilities.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dallas in view of Landamia. Dallas discloses the invention including a flashlight having one LED light beam shining in one direction but fails to disclose that the flashlight comprises a second LED light beams shining in a direction approximately 90° apart from the first light beam. Landamia teaches a flashlight comprising a second LED light beams shining in a direction approximately 90° apart from the first light beam (49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Dallas with an additional LED light source separated by ninety degrees from the first light source, as taught by Landamia, for additional illumination capabilities.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 8-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sætherbo, Taggart et al., Naghi et al., Johnson et al., Elsner, and Ping.

Art Unit: 3724

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 571-272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3724

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JP

January 19, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700